

# ADDRESSING THE STRUCTURAL ISSUES UNDERLYING THE TIDE OF DISINFORMATION



## What is Disinformation?

Disinformation is false or misleading information spread intentionally in order to advance political or ideological goals, make profit, or create harm. It is different to misinformation, which is false information shared unintentionally. This resolution focusses on disinformation as it entails a motive to deceive, therefore perpetrators are more culpable for its consequences. Further, tackling disinformation reduces opportunities for misinformation to spread.

## Why Should It Be Reduced?

### *Damage to Democracy*

Disinformation undermines the democratic process by undermining access to truthful and reliable information. For example, the outcome of the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership is thought to have been influenced by false and misleading information spread intentionally through traditional and social media.

### *Undermining Trust*

Disinformation both propagates general societal distrust and thrives when social distrust is high, creating a vicious circle. This was illustrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, when disinformation about the virus led to distrust in health messaging from governments and health organisations, reducing vaccine uptake and health protective behaviour.

### *Falsification and distortion of history*

Disinformation glorifies, exaggerates, invisibilises and misrepresents historical facts. One of the greatest examples of the consequences of the distortion of history is the denial of Holocaust, which fosters further lies, hate and violence in the present. Besides erasing and manipulating history, disinformation also mocks and attacks new research in the fields of gender, queer, racial and ethnic studies that expand our knowledge about our past.

### *Falsification and distortion of history*

Disinformation glorifies, exaggerates, invisibilises and misrepresents historical facts. One of the greatest examples of the consequences of the distortion of history is the denial of Holocaust, which fosters further lies, hate and violence in the present. Besides erasing and manipulating history, disinformation also mocks and attacks new research in the fields of gender, queer, racial and ethnic studies that expand our knowledge about our past."

### *Impact on Marginalised Groups*

Disinformation frequently entails the repetition of prejudiced and hateful narratives and tropes designed to reinforce existing power hierarchies that propagate racism, antisemitism, islamophobia, misogyny, xenophobia and transphobia. Further, marginalisation leads to institutional distrust which increases vulnerability to disinformation. For example, a disproportionate number of COVID-19 deaths among minority ethnic groups throughout Europe was compounded by the proliferation of disinformation in these communities' media ecosystems.

### ***Warfare***

Disinformation forms part of information warfare: the use, control and manipulation of information to advance a belligerents' goals in a military conflict. For example, false narratives about the war were actively spread by Russian state-controlled media and social media as part of the 2022 invasion of Ukraine.

### ***Environmental Impact***

Disinformation has deeply worrying implications for the vital transition away from fossil fuel dependency and unsustainable growth capitalism. Evidence shows that oil and gas companies have long been aware of the risks their industry poses to the climate, yet they have spread false information to instil doubt about it, enabling them to continue business as usual.

For the health of our societies, our communities and our planet, it is essential that we act to reduce, regulate and build resilience to disinformation. Our calls are broken into three areas of action: traditional media, social media and resilient societies.

## **1. Traditional Media**

Limited ownership and control of traditional media creates the motive and opportunity for a powerful minority to spread disinformation to consolidate their power and grow their profit. To reduce disinformation, it is vital that traditional media ownership is diverse and independent, and that free speech and high quality journalism that holds power to account is not only preserved, but incentivised.

### **FYEG calls for:**

- Recognition of independent journalism as an integral part of open and democratic societies. Journalistic independence needs to be protected and cannot be curtailed neither by states nor by corporate entities.
- Legislation to dismantle and prevent media monopolies (for example, antitrust laws), to avoid a one-sided media landscape and safeguard a diversity of opinion.
- Greater protection and facilitation of high quality independent journalism
- The formation of independent oversight bodies (or something similar to suit the political and media ecosystems of different countries), separate from both the state and private interests, to monitor, call out and address disinformation
- The EU to stand by its commitment to free press, prioritising it as a central requirement to EU membership for both existing and candidate members

## **2. Social Media**

The advent of social media and algorithmically-driven news feeds have provided new and powerful ways of disseminating disinformation, in a targeted fashion, to enormous audiences, at once-inconceivable speeds. As a consequence, disinformation has overwhelmed and infiltrated our lives, sowing distrust, undermining democracy and threatening the health of our planet.

**FYEG calls for:**

- Action to restrict social media companies' use of algorithms that prioritise engagement over content veracity and quality
- Recognition of the damage caused and dangers posed to democracy by analytics services that run social media disinformation campaigns for clients
- Collaboration with social media companies and governments to end social media disinformation campaigns, both by analytics companies and state actors
- Recognition of the potential for disinformation posed by developments in large language model artificial intelligence, and advocate for the pace of progress in this field to be consistent with safeguarding the ethical implications inherent within it

**3. Fostering a society with greater resilience to disinformation**

Increased uncertainty, such as housing insecurity, the cost of living crisis and the climate emergency, and decreased trust in institutions, fostered by structural oppression and negligent governance, makes people more susceptible to the easy answers provided by disinformation. Tackling disinformation is a social issue; disinformation-resilient societies require trust to be restored in the social contract.

**FYEG calls for:**

- Recognition that resilience to disinformation is a social issue, it is not the responsibility of individuals alone to inoculate themselves against disinformation
- Efforts to be taken to reduce uncertainty and insecurity in citizen's lives to be part of disinformation interventions
- Efforts to be taken to build and maintain a genuine basis for trust between individuals and the social institutions that govern and influence their lives to be part of disinformation interventions
- Recognition that marginalised groups are both more often the targets of disinformation and more vulnerable to the effects of disinformation, and for addressing marginalisation and seeking the active participation of marginalised groups to be part of any disinformation interventions
- The development of media literacy education to increase understanding of what disinformation is, how it is spread, how to spot it, and how to talk about it with others, with a particular focus on social media
- Promote measures from education and media itself to protect users and vulnerable groups such as minors, elderly or users with less mastery of digital technologies.



**Co-funded by  
the European Union**

This work of The Federation of Young European Greens (FYEG) is co-funded in part by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of FYEG only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

